

UNIVERSITY OF PORTO - FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

GEOMETRICALLY NON-LINEAR
OSCILLATIONS OF COMPOSITE
LAMINATED PLATES BY THE
HIERARCHICAL FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

by

Rui Pedro Monteiro Amaro Duarte, with a degree in Applied Mathematics,

University of Coimbra, Faculty of Sciences

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the degree of

Master

In Computational Methods in Sciences and Engineering

Thesis under the supervision of Dr. Pedro Leal Ribeiro,

From the Department of Mechanical Engineering and Industrial Management, Section of Applied

Mechanics from the Faculty of Engineering of University of Porto

Porto, October 2004

SUMMARY

The geometrically non-linear forced vibration of fully clamped composite laminated plates is studied by the hierarchical finite element method (HFEM). Using the first order shear deformation theory (FSDT), Kirchhoff's hypothesis is relaxed by removing the third part, i.e., the transverse normals do not remain perpendicular to the midsurface after deformation. Von Kármán's non-linear strain-displacement relationships are employed and the middle plane in-plane displacements are included in the model, as well as the rotations about the in-plane axis x and y . The equations of motion are developed in the time domain by applying the principle of virtual work. The high order polynomials that emerge in the HFEM are integrated by symbolic manipulation. These equations are solved in the time domain using Newmark direct integration scheme. The time domain response is studied using the phase plane, Poincaré maps, Fourier spectra and Lyapunov exponents; periodic, quasi-periodic and chaotic motions are obtained. Two different types of forces are considered, the results for linear and non-linear analysis are compared with published ones and good agreement is found. It is demonstrated that the HFEM requires fewer degrees of freedom (DOF) than the more common h -version of the FEM. This is a very important advantage in non-linear analysis because the time required to solve the non-linear equations of motion increases significantly with the number of DOF.

SOMMAIRE

On s'intéresse ici à l'étude, à l'aide de la Méthode des Eléments Finis Hiérarchiques (MEFH), des vibrations forcées, en non-linéaire géométrique, de plaques composites encastrées. La modélisation utilisée repose sur la théorie de Reissner-Mindlin (déformation au première ordre), avec l'hypothèse de Kirchhoff où le troisième terme a été négligé (les normales au feuillet moyen ne sont plus nécessairement perpendiculaires à ce feuillet après déformation) et en considérant la relation non-linéaire tension-déplacement de Von Kármán. Les déplacements du feuillet moyen ainsi que les rotations suivant les axes x et y sont introduits dans le modèle. Les équations du mouvement sont obtenues par application du principe des travaux virtuels. Les polynômes d'ordres élevés qui interviennent dans la méthode des éléments finis hiérarchiques sont pris en compte par manipulation symbolique. Les équations du mouvement sont résolues numériquement dans le domaine temporel par la méthode de Newmark. Les réponses dans le domaine temporel sont analysées en étudiant le comportement des trajectoires dans le plan de phase, des sections de Poincaré, des spectres de Fourier et par calcul des exposants de Lyapunov. Deux types sollicitations externes sont considérés. Les réponses périodiques, quasi-périodiques et chaotiques sont mises en évidence. Les résultats pour l'analyse linéaire et non-linéaire sont comparés avec ceux publiés et un bon accord est trouvé. Le coût numérique pour résoudre les équations non-linéaires du mouvement augmente considérablement avec le nombre de degrés de liberté. Ceci est un inconvénient pour mener l'analyse de systèmes faiblement amortis où des simulations sur un temps long sont exigées. La méthode des MEFH nécessitant moins de degrés de liberté que la classique MEF version- h , elle est fortement indiquée pour l'analyse numérique dans le domaine temporel des oscillations non-linéaires des plaques composites laminées.

SUMÁRIO

A vibração forçada em regime não linear geométrico de placas assimétricas encastradas em materiais compósitos é estudada pelo método dos elementos finitos hierárquico (MEFH). A origem do sistema de eixos encontra-se no plano médio, sendo o eixo dos zz normal a este. Usando a teoria de Mindlin para placas (*first order shear deformation theory*), a hipótese de Kirchhoff é relaxada removendo a terceira parte, i.e, os deslocamentos transversos não se mantêm perpendiculares à superfície média, após deformação. As relações não lineares de von Kármán entre as deformações e os deslocamentos são aplicadas e os deslocamentos ao longo do plano médio são incluídos no modelo, bem como as rotações dos deslocamentos transversos ao longo do eixo dos xx e dos yy . As equações de movimento no domínio do tempo são determinadas aplicando os princípios dos trabalhos virtuais. Os polinômios de ordem superior que aparecem no MEFH são integrados usando manipulação simbólica. Estas equações são resolvidas no domínio do tempo usando o método de Newmark. A estabilidade da solução obtida, no domínio do tempo, é estudada, usando planos de fase, mapas de Poincaré, espectro de Fourier e expoentes de Lyapunov. Soluções periódicas, quase-periódicas e caóticas são obtidas. Dois tipos de forças são consideradas, os resultados obtidos em análise linear e não linear são comparados com outros publicados e boa concordância é encontrada. É demonstrado que o MEFH requer menos graus de liberdade (GL) que a versão- h do método. Esta é uma grande vantagem em análise não linear porque o tempo necessário para resolver as equações não lineares de movimento aumenta significativamente com o número de graus de liberdade.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	iii.
SOMMAIRE	iv.
SUMÁRIO	v.
LIST OF FIGURES	ix.
LIST OF TABLES	xvi.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xvii.
<i>CHAPTER 1-INTRODUCTION</i>	18
1. General Introduction	18
2. Review of Research Carrier out on Plate Vibrations	18
3. Review of Plate Vibrations	19
4. The Hierarchical Finite Element Method	21
5. Objectives of Present Work	24
6. General Arrangement of Chapters in this Thesis	25
<i>CHAPTER 2-MATHEMATICAL MODEL</i>	26
1. Introduction	26
2. Mathematical Model	26
2.1. <i>Field Equations</i>	26
2.2. <i>Moment Curvatures and Stress Relations</i>	35
2.3. <i>Equations of Motion</i>	38
2.4. <i>Derivation of the Matrices Used in the Equations of Motion</i>	50
2.4.1. <i>Linear Stiffness Matrix</i> $[K_1]$	50
2.4.2. <i>Non-linear stiffness matrix</i> $[K_2]$ and $[K_3]$	54
2.4.3. <i>Non-linear stiffness matrix</i> $[K_4]$	59

2.4.4. <i>Shear Linear Stiffness Matrix</i> $[K_1^y]$	61
3. Displacement Shape Functions	62
4. Newmark Method	64
5. Closing Comments	68
<i>CHAPTER 3-TOOLS TO ANALYSE MOTIONS</i>	70
1. Introduction	70
2. Fourier Spectra	71
3. Poincaré Maps	74
4. Lyapunov Exponents	77
5. Closing Comments	80
<i>CHAPTER 4-FORCED VIBRATION OF PLATES –TRANSVERSE FORCE</i>	82
1. Introduction	82
2. Plates Analysed	83
3. Linear Vibration Analysis	84
3.1. <i>Convergence With the Number of Shape Functions</i>	84
3.2. <i>The effect of b/h in the linear frequencies</i>	87
3.3. <i>Influence of the fibre orientation in the prediction of the linear frequencies</i>	89
4. Non-linear Forced Vibration Analysis	89
4.1. <i>Introduction</i>	89
4.2. <i>Numerical Results</i>	91
5. Conclusions	107
<i>CHAPTER 5-FORCED VIBRATION OF PLATES – LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE FORCE</i>	109
1. Introduction	109
2. Non-linear Forced Vibration Analysis	109

2.1. <i>Distributed Applied Force</i>	109
2.2. <i>Numerical Results</i>	110
3. <i>Conclusions</i>	127
<i>CHAPTER 6-CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE</i>	
<i>STUDY</i>	128
1. <i>Conclusions</i>	128
2. <i>Suggestions for Future Study</i>	129
REFERENCES	131
APPENDIX A.....	138

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 2

Figure 1 – Plate Element, coordinates, mid-plane displacements and rotations

Figure 2 – Displacements of a plate

Figure 3 – Laminae coordinate system

CHAPTER 4

Figure 1 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (45,-45, 45,-45, 45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 4 N/m^2

Figure 2 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (4 N/m^2) of Plate 2, (45,-45, 45,-45, 45) with different values of α

Figure 3 – Fourier spectrum of forced vibration of plate 2, (45,-45, 45,-45, 45) due to and harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 4 N/m^2

Figure 4 – Fourier spectrum of forced vibration of plate 1, due to and harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 5 N/m^2

Figure 5 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (50 N/m^2) of plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 6 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 50 N/m^2

Figure 7 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (500 N/m^2) of plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 8 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 500 N/m^2

Figure 9 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (1000 N/m^2) of plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 10 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 1000 N/m^2

Figure 11 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (2000 N/m^2) of plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 12 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 2000 N/m^2

Figure 13 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (2500 N/m^2) of plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 14 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 2500 N/m^2

Figure 15 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (3000 N/m^2) of plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 16 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 3000 N/m^2

Figure 17 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (4N/m^2) of plate 2, (30,-30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 18 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 4 N/m^2

Figure 19 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (50N/m^2) of plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 20 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 50 N/m^2

Figure 21 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (500N/m^2) of plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 22 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 500 N/m^2

Figure 23 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (1000N/m^2) of plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 24 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 1000 N/m^2

Figure 25 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (2500N/m^2) of plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 26 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 2500 N/m^2

Figure 27 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (3000N/m^2) of plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 28 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (30,- 30, 30,- 30, 30) due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 3000 N/m^2

Figure 29 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (4 N/m^2) of plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 30 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 4 N/m^2

Figure 31 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (50 N/m^2) of plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 32 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 50 N/m^2

Figure 33 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (500 N/m^2) of plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 34 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 500 N/m^2

Figure 35 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (1000 N/m^2) of plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 36 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 1000 N/m^2

Figure 37 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (2500 N/m^2) of plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 38 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 2500 N/m^2

Figure 39 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (3000 N/m^2) of plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 40 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 3000 N/m^2

Figure 41 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (3300 N/m^2) of plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 42 – Time domain response of Plate 2, (0,-0, 0, -0,0), due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 3300 N/m^2

Figure 43 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (5 N/m^2) of plate 2, (90,-45, 45, 0)_{sym.}, due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave.

Figure 44 – Time domain response of Plate 1, (90,-45, 45, 0)_{sym.}, due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 5 N/m^2

Figure 45 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (1000 N/m^2) of plate 1, (90,-45, 45, 0)_{sym.}, due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave.

Figure 46 – Time domain response of Plate 1, (90,-45, 45, 0)_{sym.}, due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 1000 N/m^2

Figure 47 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration (1250 N/m^2) of plate 1, (90,-45, 45, 0)_{sym.}, due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave

Figure 48 – Time domain response of Plate 1, (90,-45, 45, 0)_{sym.}, due to harmonic excitation by a plane wave of 1250 N/m^2

Figure 49 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 4 N/m^2

Figure 50 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 50 N/m^2

Figure 51 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 500 N/m^2

Figure 52 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 1000 N/m^2

Figure 53 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 2000 N/m^2

Figure 54 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 2500 N/m^2

Figure 55 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 3000 N/m^2

Figure 56 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 30^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 4 N/m^2

Figure 57 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 30^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 50 N/m^2

Figure 58 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 30^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 500 N/m^2

Figure 59 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 30^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 1000 N/m^2

Figure 60 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 30^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 2500 N/m^2

Figure 61 – Poincaré map of plate 2, $\theta = 30^\circ$ excited by a plane wave of 3000 N/m^2

CHAPTER 5

Figure 1 – Time history and phase plane of Plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 500) \text{ N/m}^2$

Figure 2 – Poincaré map of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 500) \text{ N/m}^2$

Figure 3 – Time history of Plate 2, $(45, -45, 45, -45, 45)$ due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 1000) \text{ N/m}^2$

Figure 4 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 1000) \text{ N/m}^2$ of plate 2

Figure 5 – Time history of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 3000) \text{ N/m}^2$

Figure 6 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 3000) \text{ N/m}^2$ of plate 2

Figure 7 – Time history of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 7000) \text{ N/m}^2$

Figure 8 – Phase plane of the steady state forced vibration $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 7000) \text{ N/m}^2$ of plate 2

Figure 9 – Poincaré of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 1000) \text{ N/m}^2$

Figure 10 – Poincaré of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 3000) \text{ N/m}^2$

Figure 11 – Poincaré map of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 7000) \text{ N/m}^2$

Figure 12 – Fourier spectrum of forced of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 7000) \text{ N/m}^2$

Figure 13 – Highest Lyapunov exponent for Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 3000) \text{ N/m}^2$

Figure 14 – Time history of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (10000, 10000, 10000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 762.888 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 15 – Poincaré map and phase plane of the steady state forced vibration $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (10000, 10000, 10000) \text{ N/m}^2$ of plate 2, $\omega = 762.888 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 16 – Time history of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (10000, 10000, 10000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 800 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 17 – Phase Plane of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (10000, 10000, 10000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 800 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 18 – Time history of Plate 2, due to excitation $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (10000, 10000, 10000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 900 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 19 – Phase Plane of Plate 2, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (10000, 10000, 10000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 900$ rad/s

Figure 20 – Poincaré Map of Plate 2, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (10000, 10000, 10000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 800$ rad/s

Figure 21 – Poincaré Map of Plate 2, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (10000, 10000, 10000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 900$ rad/s

Figure 22 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation wave of
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (15000, 15000, 15000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 23 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (15000, 15000, 15000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 24 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (15000, 15000, 15000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 25 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (20000, 20000, 20000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 26 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (20000, 20000, 20000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 27 – Poincaré of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (20000, 20000, 20000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 28 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (30000, 30000, 30000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 29 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (30000, 30000, 30000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 30 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (30000, 30000, 30000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 31 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (40000, 40000, 40000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 32 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (40000, 40000, 40000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 33 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (40000, 40000, 40000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 34 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (70000, 70000, 70000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 35 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (70000, 70000, 70000) N/m^2$, $\omega = 980.592$ rad/s

Figure 36 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (70000, 70000, 70000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 37 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (100000, 100000, 100000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 38 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (100000, 100000, 100000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 39 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (100000, 100000, 100000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 40 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 7500) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 41 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 7500) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 42 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 7500) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 43 – Time history response of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 8500) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 44 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 8500) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 45 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 8500) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 46 – Time history of Plate 3 due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 10000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 47 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 10000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 48 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 10000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 49 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 15000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 50 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 15000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 51 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 15000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 52 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation
 $(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 20000) \text{ N/m}^2$, $\omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$

Figure 53 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation

$$(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 20000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$$

Figure 54 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation

$$(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 20000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$$

Figure 55 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation

$$(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 35000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$$

Figure 56 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation

$$(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 35000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$$

Figure 57 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation

$$(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 35000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$$

Figure 58 – Time history of Plate 3, due to excitation

$$(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 50000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$$

Figure 59 – Phase plane of Plate 3, due to excitation

$$(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 50000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$$

Figure 60 – Poincaré map of Plate 3, due to excitation

$$(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (5000, 7000, 50000) \text{ N/m}^2, \omega = 980.592 \text{ rad/s}$$

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 4

Table 1 – Geometrical properties of the plates

Table 2 – Material properties of the plates

Table 3 – Natural frequencies of plate 1 with $p_i = p_\theta = 7$

Table 4 – Natural frequencies of plate 2 with $p_i = p_\theta = 7$, $\theta = 45^\circ$

Table 5 – Linear natural frequency parameter l and linear frequencies of symmetrically five layer angle-ply ($\theta = 0^\circ$), square plate 2 with fully clamped

edges, $p_i = p_\theta = 9$, $\left(\lambda = \left(\rho h \omega^2 a^4 / D_0 \right)^{1/2} D_0 = \frac{E_{11} h^3}{12(1 - \nu_{12} \nu_{21})} \right)$, (Graphite/Epoxy,

$E_{11} / E_{22} = 15.4$, $G_{12} / E_{22} = 0.79$, $\nu_{12} = 0.30$)

Table 6 – Natural frequencies of plate 3 with $p_i = p_\theta = 7$

Table 7 – Convergence of the first four linear frequencies (rad/s) of Plate 1, with the number of out of plane shape functions

Table 8 – Convergence of the first four linear frequencies (rad/s) of Plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$, with $p_i = p_\theta = 7$ and the number of out of plane shape functions

Table 9 – Convergence of the first four linear frequencies (rad/s) of Plate 3, with $p_i = p_\theta = 7$ and the number of out of plane shape functions

Table 10 – Variation of the linear frequencies of vibration /different thickness of plate 1 with 134 DOF

Table 11 – Variation of the linear frequencies of vibration /different thickness of plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$, with 134 DOF

Table 12 – Variation of the linear frequencies of vibration /different thickness of plate 3 with 134 DOF

Table 13 – Linear natural frequency parameter l of symmetrically five layer angle-ply, square plate 2 with fully clamped edges and different angle orientation

Table 14 – Forced Vibration, Plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$, $p_o = 5$

Table 15 – Forced Vibration, Plate 2, $\theta = 45^\circ$, $p_o = 5$

Table 16 – Forced Vibration, Plate 2, $p_o = 5$

Table 17 – Forced Vibration, Plate 1, $p_o = 5$

Table 18 – Attractor points for vibration of plate 2, (45,-45,45,-45,45) with different forces applied to the plate, $\alpha = 0.0001$

Table 19 – Attractor points for vibration of plate 2, (30,-30,30,-30,30) with different forces applied to the plate, $\alpha = 0.0001$

Table 20 – Attractor points for vibration of plate 2, (0,0,0,0,0) with different forces applied to the plate, $\alpha = 0.0001$

Table 21 – Attractor points for vibration of plate 1, with different forces applied to the plate, $\alpha = 0.0001$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank:

My supervisor in this thesis, Professor Pedro Leal Ribeiro, for the time spent helping me to enter in the field on non-linear dynamics. His help and encouragement kept me going up to this day.

My mother Clídia Duarte and my father José Duarte and my sister Patrícia Duarte, who gave me love, affection, education and “*the tools to build a life*”.

My lovely wife Alexandra, for the love and encouragement she always gave me. Her patience and devotion are something I shall never forget.

The support of Superior School of Engineering of Viseu and the Portuguese Science and Technology Foundation is gratefully acknowledge.

I dedicate this thesis to:

The memory of my grandmothers Júlia Amaro and Isaura Duarte, grandfathers José Amaro and Joaquim Duarte.

My son, Miguel Tomás Duarte, who will become the most important person in my life.